

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/678,202	0	9/29/2000	David Bar-Or	4172-3 3734	
22442	7590	11/29/2005		EXAMINER	
SHERIDAN		С	LUKTON, DAVID		
1560 BROAI SUITE 1200	DWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DENVER, C	O 80202	,		1654	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/678,202	BAR-OR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Lukton	1654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply		•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become All	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED: (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition is in condition.	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 382-402 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) 382-402 are subject to restriction a	rawn from consideration.	. ·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the community. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeyal ection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	5
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	-		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/28/05 has been entered.

As noted previously, the response filed 7/14/05 amended some claims and cancelled others. Claims 382-402 are now pending; these are provided in the claim listing filed 10/28/05.

As explained in the previous Office action (mailed 9/28/05), the amendment filed 7/14/05 was held to be "non-responsive", given the restriction mailed 5/2/03, and the response thereto filed 6/2/03.

However, in view of the filing of the "RCE", applicants have the option of electing new species. And if the claims (as filed 10/28/05) are to be retained, a new election will be required.

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect disclosed species (as follows) for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

a) a specific peptide, in which all chiral centers are specified (presumably applicants

will elect DAHK, in which all amino acids are of the "L" configuration);

- b) a specific disease with which the animal is afflicted and which the method is endeavoring to ameliorate the adverse effects of (e.g., Alzheimers, MS, or Parkinsons);
- c) a specific cell type or tissue which is "damaged" by the reactive oxygen species;
- d) a specific manifestation of the damage to the elected cells or tissue (e.g., damage to DNA, damage to cell membranes, or oxidation of side chains of amino acids present in proteins)

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are witten in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentable distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 571-272-0952. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached at (571)272-0974. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

DAVID LUKTON
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 1800